Memorandum



Agenda Item No. 8(P)(1)(C)

Date:

April 24, 2007

To:

Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro

and Members. Board of County Commissioners

From:

George M. Burgess

County Manager

Subject:

Request to Advertise for Roadway Innovements Along NE 2 Avenue, from NE

91 Street to NE 105 Street, Located Within Comprission District 3 - Project No:

20060439

<u>Recommendation</u>

The attached Request to Advertise for a Roadway Improvement Contract along NE 2 Avenue, from NE 91 Street to NE 105 Street, located within Commission District 3 (Project No. 20060439) for Miami-Dade County has been prepared by the Public Works Department (PWD) and is recommended for approval.

Scope

The proposed improvements along NE 2 Avenue, from NE 91 Street to NE 105 Street, are located within Commission District 3.

Track Record Monitor

Not Applicable – will be provided at the time of award.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The fiscal impact will be approximately \$8,013,887.00 and will be funded from Road Impact Fee (RIF). The Village of Miami Shores has agreed to participate in the funding of this project for upgrades such as landscape improvements and light fixtures. Other applicable funding sources may subsidize this contract.

Background

PWD staff submitted the referenced project to the Department of Business Development's (DBD) Review Committee. The Review Committee met on January 10, 2007, and recommended the following contract measure: 18% Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE) Subcontractor Goal. The Community Workforce Program (CWP) is not applicable for this project, since it is not within a Designated Target Area (DTA).

PWD has advertised this project twice before, however the proffered bids were well over the engineering estimate by more than 95% on one occasion and 65% on the other.

Subsequently, PWD reviewed the County's estimate and the enhancements requested by the Village of Miami Shores and adjusted their cost estimate, as well as including optional items in the bid form to allow for approval and/or disapproval of the enhancements depending on the prices received.

Project Name:

Roadway Improvements along NE 2 Avenue, from NE 91 Street to NE 105 Street

Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page 2

Project/Contract No:

20060439

Project Description:

The project consists of furnishing all supervision, labor, required materials, equipment and tools and performing all operations necessary for reconstructing the existing four (4) lane roadway. Work in this contract also consists of milling and resurfacing, maintenance of traffic, new sidewalks, curb and gutters, pavement markings, signage, signalization, decorative street lighting, landscaping, irrigation and the installation of a continuous storm drainage system. Additionally, the Village of Miami Shores has agreed to participate in the funding of this project for upgrades such as landscape improvements and light fixtures.

Project Location:

NE 2 Avenue, from NE 91 Street to NE 105 Street

Primary Commission District:

District 3 - Audrey M. Edmonson

Approval Path:

Board of County Commissioners

Using/Managing Department:

Public Works Department

PTP/GOB Funding:

Νo

Capital Budget Project:

9999999- See attached email from the Village of Miami Shores. \$1,500,000.00

6050471- WIDEN NE 2 AVENUE, FROM NE 105 STREET (LITTLE RIVER CANAL) TO NE 91 STREET

Book Page: 95; Funding Year: 2006-07; RTA Estimate: \$6,513,887.00

Estimated Contract Period:

540 Calendar Days

Estimated Contingency Period:

54

I.G. Fee Included in Base Contract:

Yes

Art in Public Places:

No

Base Estimate:

\$7,078,560.00

Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page 3

Contingency Allowance (Section 2-8.1 Miami Dade County Code:

Infrastructure 10% \$707,856.00

Maintenance of Traffic:

\$141,571.00

Off-Duty Law Enforcement:

\$30,000.00

Bituminous Adjustment:

\$15,000.00

PWD Permit Allowances:

\$40,000.00

FPL Connection Fee:

\$900.00

Total Dedicated Allowance:

\$227,471.00

Cost Estimate:

\$8,013,887.00

Minimum Qualifications Exceed Legal Requirements:

No

Review Committee:

Meeting Date: 1/10/2007

Responsible Wages:

Yes

Review Committee Assigned Contract Measures:

CSBE 18%

CWF – Not applicable. Not in Designated Target Area (DTA).

Mandatory Clearing House:

Yes



Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page ${\bf 4}$

DEPARTMENT FINANCE:	PWD Finance
	Date: 2/15/07
INDEX CODE:	CPE02C.BCC03C.RWIDEN.99020 2/13/07
BUDGET APPROVAL FUNDS AVAILABLE:	OSBM Director
	Date:
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:	County Attorney
	Date: 2/15/07

TO: Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro

DATE:

April 24, 2007

and Members, Board of County Commissioners

FROM:

Murray A. Greenberg County Attorney SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(P)(1)(C)

Plea	se note any items checked.
·	"4-Day Rule" ("3-Day Rule" for committees) applicable if raised
	6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
	4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
	Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budge
	Budget required
	Statement of fiscal impact required
	Bid waiver requiring County Manager's written recommendation
	Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Manager's report for public hearing
	Housekeeping item (no policy decision required)
	No committee review

Approved	Mayor	Agenda Item No.	8(P)(1)(C)
Veto		04-24-07	
Override			

RESOLUTION NO

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROJECT REQUEST TO ADVERTISE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$8,013,887.00 FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PROJECT ENTITLED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS ALONG NE 2 AVENUE, FROM NE 91 STREET TO NE 105 STREET, LOCATED WITHIN COMMISSION DISTRICT 3 (PROJECT NO. 20060439)

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves the Project Request to Advertise in the amount of \$8,013,887.00 for Miami-Dade County Project Entitled Roadway Improvements along NE 2 Avenue, from NE 91 Street to NE 105 Street, located within Commission District 3 (Project No. 20060439) in substantially the form attached hereto and made a part hereof.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Bruno A. Barreiro, Chairman Barbara J. Jordan, Vice-Chairwoman

Jose "Pepe" Diaz

Audrey M. Edmonson

Carlos A. Gimenez
Joe A. Martinez

Sally A. Heyman

Dorrin D. Rolle

Dennis C. Moss

Katy Sorenson

Natacha Seijas

Sen. Javier D. Souto

Rebeca Sosa

The Chairperson thereupon declared the resolution duly passed and adopted this 24th day of April, 2007. This resolution shall become effective ten (10) days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

Approved by County Attorney as \	By:	
Approved by County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency.	Deputy Clerk	
Hugo Benitez		





Dept. of Business Development Project Worksheet

ect/Contract Title:	. 스타트 하는 모든 모든 모든 사람들이 살아 살아 있다.	105TH STREET (SIC 16)	NE 2ND AVENUE FROM NE	RC Date:	01/10/2
ect/Contract No:	20060439 (FORMERL		Funding Source:	Item No:	
ırtment:	PUBLIC WORKS DEF		KIF		02/23/
nated Cost of Project/Bid:	\$8,013,887.00			Resubmittal Date(s)	E 04/05/
ription of Project/Bid:	SIDEWALKS, CURB AND C LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING NE 2ND AVENUE FROM NI	IUTTER, PAVEMENT MARKIN I, IRRIGATION AND INSTALL E 91ST STREET TO NE 105TH IN THE FUNDING OF THIS P	ING AND RESURFACING, MAINTENAN GS, SIGNAGE, SIGNALIZATION, DECOR ATION OF A CONTINUOUS STORM DRA STREET, ADDITIONALLY, THE VILLAGI ROJECT FOR UPGRADES SUCH AS LANS	RATIVE STREET INAGE SYSTEM ALONG E OF MIAMI SHORES HAS	
	Co	ntract Measures Recomi	nendation		
	<u>Measure</u>	<u>Program</u>	Goal Percent		
	Goal	CSBE	17.63%		
		Reasons for Recommen	lation		
An analysis of the factors of	contained in Section VI (3-22 indicate that a goal of 18% is:	nangapista in the	
trades of signalization, con		In the highest of the feet that the plant after the first the 🕩		ардиоризме игиме	
This western man adminath.	and and the state of the state of the state of	ANGEDONG GARAGOE T	and a management of the second	anacaria ariaciae	la contration
	rived was 95% over the (County's estimate. All bids	were rejected. The cost estimate w	as increased from	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v	vived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887.	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23		as increased from er Project No.	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887.	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids	as increased from er Project No. . The estimate has now	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887.	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the Coun	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids n of a Goal	as increased from ler Project No The estimate has now The estimate has now	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887.	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the County than 65% over the County sis for Recommendation Cat.	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids n of a Goal % of Estimated Value to Ba	as increased from ler Project No The estimate has now Items se Bid Availability	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23/e than 65% over the County sis for Recommendation Cat. CSBE	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids of a Goal **Goal** **Goal** **Soal** **Soal	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now Items Se Bid Availability 60% 20	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Commitee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the County than 65% over the County sis for Recommendation Cat.	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids 1 of a Goal Estimated Value \$448,777.67 \$5. \$77,734.70 0.	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now The estimate has now Tems See Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the Coun- ysis for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids 1 of a Goal Estimated Value \$448,777.67 \$5. \$77,734.70 0.	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now Items Se Bid Availability 60% 20	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the Coun- ysis for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids 1 of a Goal Estimated Value \$448,777.67 \$5. \$77,734.70 0.	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now The estimate has now Tems See Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering	sived was 95% over the Co when presented to the Re est bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23/ e than 65% over the Coun- ysis for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids n of a Goal **Goal **Estimated Value* \$448,777.67 \$77,734.70 \$886,335.90 11.	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now The estimate has now Tems See Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering Electrical Contractors	sived was 95% over the Covhen presented to the Rest bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. in DTA Anal	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23 to than 65% over the County than 65% over th	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids 1 of a Goal	as increased from ter Project No. The estimate has now Items se Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5 06% 4	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering Electrical Contractors	sived was 95% over the Content presented to the Rest bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. In DTA Analog Contractors	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23/e than 65% over the County sis for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE CSBE Total Highway: YES X	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids n of a Goal ** of Estimated Value \$448,777.67 \$77,734.70 \$886,335.90 11.1 \$1,412,848.28 17.0 Heavy Construction:	as increased from her Project No. The estimate has now Items See Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5 06% 4	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering Electrical Contractors ing Wages: YES	ived was 95% over the Covhen presented to the Rest bid received was mor 5,831 to \$8,013,887. In DTA Anal Contractors NO X NO NO	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23 e than 65% over the County via for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE CSBE CSBE Total Highway: YES X	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids 1 of a Goal	as increased from ter Project No. The estimate has now Items se Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5 06% 4	
because the lowest bid rece \$4,614,147 to \$7,333,831 v 20060439 because the lowe been increased from \$7,333 CWP Not Applicable: Not Subtrade Concrete Contractors Painting and Wall Covering Electrical Contractors	sived was 95% over the Content presented to the Rest bid received was more 5,831 to \$8,013,887. In DTA Analogue Contractors NO X Reconstruction projects over	County's estimate. All bids view Committee on 02/23 e than 65% over the County via for Recommendation Cat. CSBE CSBE CSBE CSBE Total Highway: YES X	were rejected. The cost estimate w 05. It is now being resubmitted und ty's estimate. PWD rejected all bids **reference of the cost estimate with the cost of the	as increased from ter Project No. The estimate has now Items se Bid Availability 60% 20 97% 5 06% 4	

Trade Set Aside (MCC) Goal_ **Bid Preference** Deferred Selection Factor County Manager



EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:

TOTAL EXPENDITURES:

Planning and Design

Construction

STRATEGIC AREA: Transportation DEPARTMENT: Public Works							******* FUNDED PROJECTS ***** (dollars in thousands)			
WIDEN NE 15 AVENUE FROM NE 159 STRI DESCRIPTION: Construct intersection impre	ovements and wide	n from two la						PROJECT #	£ 6030511	
LOCATION: NE 15 Ave from NE Road Impact Fee Dis	strict 3	t		DISTRICT LC DISTRICT(s)		2, 4 2, 4				
REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL	
Road Impact Fees	5,861	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,861	
TOTAL REVENUE:	5,861	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	5,861	
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL	
Planning and Design	361	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	361	
Construction	500	1,667	1,667	1,666	0	0	0	0	5,500	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	861	1,667	1,667	1,666	0	0	0	0	5,861	
DESCRIPTION: Widen road from two to fou LOCATION: NE 15 Ave from NE Road Impact Fee Dis ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING IM	170 St to NE 163 Strict 3	•		DISTRICT LO DISTRICT(s)		4 4				
REVENUE SCHEDULE:		2000 07					2044 42	FUTURE	TOTAL	
Road Impact Fees	PRIOR 763	2006-07 600	2007-08 0	2008-09 0	2009-10 0	2010-11 0	2011-12 0	FUTURE 0	TOTAL 1,363	
TOTAL REVENUE:	763	600	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,363	
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL	
Planning and Design	163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	163	
Construction	600	600	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,200	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	763	600	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,363	
WIDEN NE 2 AVENUE FROM NE 105 STREI DESCRIPTION: Reconstruct four lanes on 1	.5 miles of roadway		NE 91 STRE	ET				PROJECT #	ŧ 6050471	
LOCATION: NE 2 Ave from NE 10 Road Impact Fee Dis										
Road Impact Fee Dis ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING M	- /			DISTRICT LO DISTRICT(s) :		3 3				
REVENUE SCHEDULE:	-	2000 07					2044 42	FUTURE	TOTAL	
Road Impact Fees	PRIOR 6,850	2006-07 0	2007-08 0	2008-09 0	2009-10 0	2010-11 0	2011-12 0	FUTURE 0	TOTAL 6,850	

2009-10

0

0

2010-11

0

0

2011-12

0

0

FUTURE

0

0

TOTAL

200

6,650

6,850

- KIF - MIA SHOVES POPAL AVAIL.

2007-08

3,325

3,325

0

PRIOR

200

200

0

2006-07

3,325

3,325

0

2008-09

0

0

Transportation

DEPARTMENT:

Public Works

******* FUNDED PROJECTS ******* (dollars in thousands)

TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES - EQUIPMENT AND								PROJECT #	f 603305 1
DÉSCRIPTION: Replace existing traffic control devi	ices and pro	ovide traffic si	gnals and si	gns equipment					
LOCATION: Countywide									
Countywide				DISTRICT LO	CATED:	Countywide			
ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING IMPACT:	Minimal			DISTRICT(s)		Countywide			
REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTA
Secondary Gas Tax	600	750	7 50	750	750	750	750	750	5,850
TOTAL REVENUE:	600	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	5,850
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	600	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	5,850
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	600	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	5,850
TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES - SIGNALIZATION R	OAD IMPA	CT FEE DIST	TRICT 01					PROJECT#	603259 ⁻

TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES - SIGNALIZATION ROAD I	IMPACT FEE DISTRICT 01
--	------------------------

DESCRIPTION: Install traffic control devices at intersections that are not currently signalized

LOCATION:

Road Impact Fee District 1

Road Impact Fee District 1

DISTRICT LOCATED:

6, 7, 10, 12

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING IMPACT:	Minimal			DISTRICT(s)	SERVED:	6,7,10,	12		
REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL
Road Impact Fees	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	31
TOTAL REVENUE:	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	31
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	31
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	0	0	31	0	0	. 0	0	0	31

TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES - SIGNALIZATION ROAD IMPACT FEE DISTRICT 02

PROJECT # 609080

DESCRIPTION: Install traffic control devices at intersections that are not currently signalized

LOCATION:

Road Impact Fee District 2

Road Impact Fee District 2

DISTRICT LOCATED: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING IMPACT: Minimal

DISTRICT(s) SERVED:

Edition to the of the first and Adr.	MINTERIOR			DISTRICT(S)	SERVED.	2, 3, 4, 5	o , o , t		
REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL
Road Impact Fees	0	702	0	0	350	299	0	0	1,351
TOTAL REVENUE:	0	702	0	0	350	299	0	0	1,351
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	0	702	0	0	350	299	0	0	1,351
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	0	702	0	0	350	299	0	0	1,351

BUDGET PROJECT 6050471

Project Title: 6050471-WIDEN NE 2 AVENUE FROM NE 105 STREET (LITTLE RIVER CANAL) TO NE 91 STREET

Project Desc: Reconstruct four lanes on 1.5 miles of roadway

Project \$\$	Start:	End:	Prior:	<u>06-07:</u>	<u>07-08:</u>	08-09:	<u>09-10:</u>	Total:
(\$\$ in 000's)	10/1/1998	1/3/2006	200	<u>3,325</u>	3,325	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	6,850
		Projec	et Type: Cap	otial				

CDPWeb Project Milestones (\$ IN 000'S)										
Milestone:	Start:	End:	Prior:	<u>06-07:</u>	<u>07-08:</u>	<u>08-09:</u>	09-10:	Total:		
Planning/Design	N/A	N/A	200	0	0	0	0	200		
Construction	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3,325</u>	3,325	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6,650</u>		
	CE	PWeb Proj	ect Revenue	(\$ IN 000'S	S)					
Revenue:	Start:	End:	Prior:	06-07:	<u>07-08:</u>	<u>08-09:</u>	09-10:	Total:		
Road Impact Fees	N/A	N/A	6,850	0	0	0	0	6,850		

EXIT SITES

Current Contracts for Project 6050471

			RTA Budget	Award Budget	CIIS
<u>Dept</u>	ContractNo	Contract Name	•	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Award</u>
PW	20050009	NE 2nd Avenue, from NE 91st St	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
PW	20060110	Proposed Roadway Improvements	\$5,100,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
<u>PW</u>	20060439	N.E. 2nd Avenue, from N.E. 91s	<u>\$0.00</u>	\$0.00	\$0.00
		Total Alloca	ted: \$5,100,000.00	\$0.00	

BUDGET PROJECT 609080

Project Title: 609080-TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES - SIGNALIZATION ROAD IMPACT FEE DISTRICT 02

Project Desc: Install traffic control devices at intersections that are not currently signalized

Project \$\$ Start: End: Prior: 06-07: 07-08: 08-09: 09-10: Total: (\$\$ in 000's) N/A N/A 0 <u>702</u> 0 0 <u>350</u> <u>1,351</u>

Project Type: Capital

CDPWeb Project Milestones (\$ IN 000'S)

Milestone: Start: End: Prior: 06-07: 07-08: 08-09: 09-10: Total: N/A N/A 0 702 Construction 0 0 350 1,351

CDPWeb Project Revenue (\$ IN 000'S)

Revenue: Start: Prior: End: 06-07: 07-08: 08-09: 09-10: Total: Road Impact Fees N/A N/A 702 350 1,351 0 0 0

EXIT

Current Contracts for Project 609080

RTA Award Budget Budget CIIS **Dept ContractNo Contract Name Allocation** <u>Allocation</u> <u>Award</u> Proposed Roadway Improvements PW 20060439 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

There are no SItes for Project 609080

SIMAC SIP.

		11011	T		<u>/</u>	7				
CAPITAL BU	CAPITAL BUDGET FY 2006-07	20-90				! !				
ROAD IMPA District? Protects	CT FEE 2 FU	ROAD IMPACT FEE 2 FUNDED PROJECTS DISTRICT: PROJECT: PROJECT: PROJECT:		PRIOR	20-90	06-07, 08-09	60-80	DATEMENT OF SOME		MUCL
080609	VARIOUS	TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES-NEW & UPGRADES	REV	615	502	0	lo	350	2000	1 766
IKAFFIC		At intersections not currently signalized.	EXP	615	502	0	0	350	299	1,766
		ATMS/RETIMING PHASE 1	REV	110	1,942	0	0	0	0	2,052
200000					77.					700'7
DUSU 201 INFRAST	VARIOUS	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS Various locations throughout Miami Dade County.	REV EXP	53	502 502	0	00	350	299	1,204
5030081	VARIOUS	ROAD RESURFACING - ARTHRIAI STREETS	7130			,				
MAJOR RD		Various locations throughout Dade County.	EXP	0	00	0	00	350	299	649
		TRIOIBIS		778	2,946	0 0		1,050	897	5,671
		Grand Ave from SW 37 Ave to SW 32	REV	098	0	0	С	С	C	098
		JPA with City of Miami. On prior year capital list	EXP	098	0	0	0	0	0	860
571269	90	TAMIAMI CANAL & TAMIAMI BLVD IMPROV	REV	50	0	0	c	450	2005	1 000
WAJOR KD		Tamiami Blvd: SW 8 St - Flagler St	EXP	50	0	0	0	450	200	1,000
5030721	03	N 20TH ST IMPROVEMENTS	REV	ē	c			003	9	,
MAJOR RD		N 20 St. NW 2 Ave - NE 2 Ave	EXP	0	0	0	0	200	200	1,000
571268	03	DAINGGIA JAN	REV	6.850	0	C	10	C	c	058.9
מא אספאיי		WE WELL NO 10588 (Wigami Shores contribute \$1.500)	EXP	6,850	0	0	0	0	0	6,850
	03	d/Vac and an an an an	REV	0	0	3.000	3,000		c	9009
MAJOR RD			EXP	0	0	3,000	3,000	0	0	6,000
034951	03	-6.850.000.00 +	REV	0	0	0		005	9	-
MAJOR RD	4	# 100 : 000 : 000 + 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1	EXP	0	0	0	0	200	200	1,000
		8 2 5 5 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 SCAYNE BLVD.	REV	200	c	c		0	c	003
			EXP	200	0	0	0	0	0	200
		9	DEV	000			(í		
			EXP	1,200	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	1,200
ر ا					,					1,200
		NW 22 AVE BRIDGE OVER MIAMI RIVER	REV	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
		FROSECT NOW IN GOS STOROR DESIGN ONLY	EXP	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
,,800 in Const		NW 17th AVE. BRIDGE OVER THE MIAMI RIVER	REV	3,535	1,065	550	550	1,300	0	7,000
		III Dosigii	EXP	3,535	1,065	550	550	1,300	0	7,000
		MIAMI AVENUE BRIDGE OVER THE MIAMI RIVER	REV	300	1,000	1,850	550	0	0	3,700
			EAF	300	1,000	1,850	550	0		3,700
		NORTH MIAMI AVE. FROM 14 ST TO CITY LIMIT	REV	0	00	0	0		1,000	1,000
2000,0770			1	>	5	0	Ο		1,000	1,000

Page 1

Cabrera, Lisset (PWD)

From: Miranda, Gaspar (PWD)

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2006 5:48 PM

To: Ona, Leandro (PWD); Riera, Miguel (PWD)

Subject: FW: NE 2nd Ave

FYI

----Original Message----

From: Tom Benton [mailto:BentonT@miamishoresvillage.com]

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2006 5:37 PM

To: Miranda, Gaspar (PWD) **Subject:** RE: NE 2nd Ave

(Gaspar

That is correct we have 1.5 million set aside for the streetscape improvements including the decorative underground fed street light poles. As a follow up to our meeting in Ester's office last month we agreed that both parties would come up with additional money if needed to award the contract this time if the bids were "reasonable" but higher than originally anticipated. Thanks!

Tom Benton

From: Miranda, Gaspar (PWD) [mailto:gxm@miamidade.gov]

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2006 5:23 PM

To: Tom Benton

Cc: Ona, Leandro (PWD); Riera, Miguel (PWD)

Subject: RE: NE 2nd Ave

Tom

We are in the process of finalizing two (2) sets of plan options that will be provided to prospective bidders. The plans will be completed by the end of this week, after which the process of bidding will commence. We anticipate receiving/opening Bids in February 2007.

Please confirm, it is our understanding that the <u>Village of Miami Shores</u> will supplement the project with \$1.5M for incorporating streetscape improvements.

Thanks, Gaspar

----Original Message----

From: Tom Benton [mailto:BentonT@miamishoresvillage.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2006 4:38 PM

To: Miranda, Gaspar (PWD) **Subject:** NE 2nd Ave

Gaspar,

How are we coming on the NE 2nd Ave project, can you please give me an update so I can pass it on. Thanks!

Tom Benton

Village Manager Miami Shores Village 10050 N.E. Second Avenue Miami Shores, FL 33138 (305)795--2207 Phone (305)754-6522 Fax

14

Mizimi Dade County Public Works Department Input Contract/Project Measure Analtysis and Resommendation.

To: Marsha E. Jackman, Director

Department of Business Development

From: Rodney McMillian

Special Project Administrator Public Works Department

Date: Thursday, December 28, 2006

Department: Public Works

Contract/Project Title: Proposed Roadway Improvements to NE 2nd Avenue from NE 91st Street to NE

105th Street

Contract/Project No.: 20060439

Estimated Project Cost: \$8

\$8,013,887.00

Funding Source: Road Impact Fees (RIF)

Project Description:

The project consists of furnishing all supervision, labor, materials, equipment and tools and performing all operations necessary for reconstructing the existing four lane roadway. Work in this contract also consists of milling and resurfacing, maintenance of traffic, new sidewalks, curb and gutter, pavement markings, signage, signalization, decorative street lighting, landscaping, irrigation and installation of a continuous storm drainage system. Additionally, the Village of Miami Shores has agreed to participate in the funding of this project for upgrades such as landscape improvements and light fixtures.

Contract Measure Recommendation:

No Measure

CSBE Subcontractor Goal

18% (Concrete; Signalization; Pavement Marking and Signs)

CSBE Set-Aside

Level 1

Level 2

Level 3

Standard Industrial Code (SIC): 16-Highway Construction

Reason for Recommendation:

Analysis of the Ordinance 97-52 indicates that a CSBE Subcontractor Goal is appropriate for this contract.

Contract/Project History of Previous Purchase for Previous Three Years:

Existing Contractor:

None

Contract Value:

Comments:

Check here if new Contract/Project purchase with no previous history:

X

Project # 2006 0439

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS	DEPARTMENT HIGHWAY DIVISION
--------------------------------	-----------------------------

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COSTS

NE 2 AVE FROM NE 91 ST TO NE 105 ST PWD PROJECT No. 671268

DATE:	12/12/2006		
ITEM	COST	TOTAL	
11 LW	ESTIMATE	JOB (%)	
GENERAL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	193,716		
CONTROL OF EROSION & WATER POLLUTION	32,797	0,	
CLEARING & GRUBBING	728,181	99	
EARTHWORK	634,897	89	
REMOVAL OF SOLID WASTE MATERIAL	0	09	
BITUMINOUS SURFACE COURSES AND CONCRETE PAVEMENT	1,082,008	149	
DRAINAGE STRUCTURES & PIPES	1,020,508	139	
CONCRETE	449,199	6%	
GUARDRAIL & FENCE	-	0%	
SODDING & TREE RELOCATION	356,747	4%	
IRRIGATION	60,000	1%	
SIGNALIZATION	886,285	11%	
PAVEMENT MARKING & SIGNAGE	77,874	1%	
LIGHTING	1,538,652	19%	
BRIDGE	-	0%	
NSPECTOR GENERAL (0.25%)	17,696	0%	
BASE ESTIMATED COST	7,078,560		
CONTINGENCY FUND (10%)	707,856	9%	
SUBTOTAL	7,786,416		
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT)(2%)	141,571	2%	
OFF DUTY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER	30,000	0%	
ITUMINOUS MATERIAL ADJUSTMENT	15,000	0%	
WD PERMIT ALLOWANCES	40,000	0%	
PL CONNECTION ALLOWANCE	900	0%	
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 8,013,887	100%	